

family, and their friends, and that she would receive meaningful mental health treatment.

Golding was seen on a couple of occasions by St. Clares Senior Clinician Brooke A. Donald. Unfortunately, Golding's new clinician merely parroted the discredited findings of Dr. Miller and Clinician Curvin. Clinician Donald found that Golding's diagnosis of "Adjustment Disorder with Mixed Anxiety and Depressed Mood from 2006 *remains* in remission." Clinician Donald further found that Golding "*remains* motivated to move on with her life." (Exhibit F). In essence, based on just a couple of sessions with Golding, she agreed with the discredited diagnosis, and prognosis, set forth previously.

Donald's conclusions that Golding *remains* in remission and *remains* motivated is telling. The prior diagnosis was based on Karen Golding's false statements to her treatment providers that she was a victim of Joseph Cryan. And, that the only thing she did wrong was fail to cope properly with the stress heaped upon her by Joseph Cryan. She obviously was not motivated to move on with her life as evidence by the 600 plus phone calls made to the victims in a 45 day period. And, she was obviously never in remission. So, what sense and value can be attached to Donald's conclusions?

Treatment is only meaningful if the patient complies with the treatment process. I have spoken with clinician Donald and she has confirmed that Golding portrayed herself as a victim during their sessions. Clinician Donald was unaware of the extent of Ms. Golding's stalking activities and it was evident, based on my conversation with her, that she did not fully comprehend the magnitude of the matter.